

Understand Repression as Inevitable, and Make It Backfire

Turning authoritarian violence into evidence, discipline, legitimacy, and strategic pressure

A practical training guide for members of the World Liberty Congress and the World Liberty Academy. Twelfth principle of the WLC Adaptations to Counter-Autocracy Strategic Framework (Berlin, November 2025).

The principle, in one sentence. Repression is not an accident in authoritarian systems. It is one of their operating methods. Mature democratic movements do not panic when repression comes. They anticipate it, protect their people, document abuses, preserve legitimacy, and convert the regime's violence into political, legal, moral, and international costs.

INTRODUCTION

Why this principle matters

Authoritarian regimes depend on fear. They use fear to isolate citizens, discourage participation, divide coalitions, silence families, intimidate journalists, discipline elites, and convince ordinary people that resistance is too costly. Repression is therefore not a sign that a movement has failed. It is often a sign that the movement has begun to matter.

A movement that is surprised by repression is easily disoriented. Its leaders may panic. Its members may scatter. Its communications may become emotional and inconsistent. Its supporters may lose confidence. Its adversaries will exploit the confusion. A movement that expects repression can respond with discipline. It can protect people before the blow comes, document the abuse when it happens, communicate clearly, activate allies, and turn the regime's own violence into evidence of its illegitimacy.

Principle 12 sits inside Pillar IV, Winning and Defending the Future, alongside the discipline of multi-front strategy in Principle 11 and the future-orientation of Principle 13. The three principles operate together. Movements that survive repression with discipline accumulate the kind of moral and political capital that makes both transition and consolidation possible. Movements that mishandle repression often lose, in a single crisis, what years of disciplined work had built.

This essay sets out the principle in eleven sections. It defines repression as a system rather than an event, with ten distinct forms. It treats transnational repression in expanded depth, drawing on Freedom House data and on the lived experience of WLC members across the network. It explains the theory of backfire, the conditions under which repression can be converted into accountability, and the discipline that distinguishes expecting repression from provoking it. It offers the WLC Repression Response Cycle and a 72-hour protocol. It introduces the Theory of Resilience as the inner architecture leadership requires when the blow finally lands. It provides practical advice for leading through specific forms of repression. And it sets out the role of the World Liberty Congress as the network through which dispersed members convert isolated suffering into coordinated power.



SECTION I

Repression is a system, not an event

Movements often think of repression as a dramatic moment: an arrest, a beating, a raid, a massacre, a trial, a prison sentence. Those events matter. They are also only the visible surface of a broader system. Repression is a structure of control that includes violence, law, bureaucracy, propaganda, surveillance, finance, exile, social stigma, and psychological pressure. To respond effectively, movements must learn to recognize all ten of its principal forms.

1. Physical repression

Beatings, torture, killings, forced disappearance, sexual violence, prison abuse, raids, police violence, and paramilitary attacks. The most visible form, and the easiest to document when access is preserved.

2. Legal repression

Fabricated charges, politicized trials, terrorism laws applied to peaceful activism, treason laws, foreign-agent laws, cybercrime laws, anti-extremism laws, travel bans, arbitrary detention, and judicial harassment. Legal repression has become the contemporary regime's tool of choice because it produces the appearance of

legitimacy and creates evidentiary records that benefit the prosecutor rather than the victim.

3. Administrative repression

Cancellation of passports, denial of permits, deregistration of NGOs, closure of universities, professional bans, tax harassment, property confiscation, and citizenship stripping. Quiet, bureaucratic, often unphotogenic, and devastating in its cumulative effect.

4. Financial repression

Freezing accounts, blocking transfers, criminalizing funding, monitoring bank activity, punishing donors, and forcing activists into financial isolation. The Berlin Manifesto names financial weaponization specifically, and it is the operational reason behind the WLC's Decentralized Resistance Program.

5. Digital repression

Spyware, hacking, phishing, doxxing, internet shutdowns, platform manipulation, device seizure, biometric tracking, and AI-assisted surveillance. The form of repression that scales most quickly and that has changed the operating environment of every contemporary movement.

6. Information repression

Censorship, propaganda, smear campaigns, fake opposition accounts, regime influencers, manipulated video, disinformation, and concerted attempts to portray victims as criminals, terrorists, foreign agents, or moral degenerates. Information repression is what makes other forms of repression politically sustainable for the regime.

7. Social repression

Harassment of families, threats to children, pressure on employers, university expulsions, professional exclusion, forced public denunciations, and social ostracism. The form of repression that imposes the largest aggregate cost on the largest number of people, often without producing a single newsworthy event.

8. Transnational repression

Threats abroad, surveillance of exiles, abuse of Interpol notices, harassment by embassies, intimidation of relatives at home, attempted kidnapping, and assassination. Section II of this essay treats transnational repression in depth, because it has become the operating environment of a substantial portion of the WLC network.

9. Psychological repression

Isolation, prolonged uncertainty, sleep deprivation, humiliation, forced confession, pressure to betray colleagues, and the deliberate destruction of hope. The form most often invisible to the outside world, most often understated by survivors, and most often the longest in its consequences.

10. Strategic repression

Selective arrests designed to decapitate leadership, repression timed before elections or major mobilizations, concessions combined with targeted punishment to divide coalitions, and attacks designed to provoke movement indiscipline. The form of repression that requires movements to study the regime as carefully as the regime studies them.

Movements must study repression as carefully as they study mobilization. If repression is predictable, it can be prepared for. If it can be prepared for, it can be made less effective. If it cannot be prevented, it can at least be turned into a cost the regime did not expect to pay.



SECTION II

Transnational repression: the global operating environment of the WLC

Authoritarian power no longer stops at the water's edge. The Berlin Manifesto declares this directly: dictators pursue their critics across borders through transnational repression, using assassinations, kidnappings, surveillance, digital spyware, intimidation of families, and the abuse of tools like Interpol red notices to extend tyranny's reach. The WLC is the only global network whose membership is, by composition, the population most affected by this phenomenon. Treating transnational repression as a separate form, rather than as a subset of physical or social repression, is therefore not an academic distinction. It is the operating environment of a substantial portion of WLC members.

The scale of the problem

Freedom House has built the most comprehensive global database of transnational repression, tracking direct, physical incidents committed by governments against critics living abroad. The numbers should be cited carefully, because they significantly understate the actual scale: only physical, direct incidents that meet specific evidentiary standards are counted, and most cases are never documented at all. Even with that conservative methodology, the picture is sobering.

Between 2014 and 2025, Freedom House documented 1,375 direct, physical incidents of transnational repression in 107 host countries, perpetrated by 54 governments. In 2025 alone, 126 new incidents were recorded involving 30 countries. The top perpetrators across the full dataset are the People’s Republic of China, Russia, Turkey, Egypt, and Vietnam, with the Chinese government responsible for approximately 22 percent of all incidents. Six new perpetrator governments (Afghanistan, Benin, Georgia, Kenya, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe) were identified for the first time in 2025. The Freedom House database is available at freedomhouse.org/report/transnational-repression.

The methods documented by Freedom House and other organizations include cross-border assassinations and assassination attempts, kidnappings, abductions disguised as deportations, family hostage-taking, mobile spyware deployment, abuse of Interpol notices, denationalization, passport cancellation, asset confiscation against family members at home, and coordinated harassment campaigns through proxies and networks of informers. Authoritarian collaboration is now a documented pattern, with regimes sharing intelligence, technology, and operational support across borders to track, intimidate, and silence dissidents in third countries.

An internal WLC review estimates that at least one in five members of the network living in exile has experienced one or more documented forms of transnational repression. The actual figure is almost certainly higher, because many forms (digital surveillance, harassment of relatives at home, low-grade intimidation that does not produce reportable incidents) go unreported even by the people experiencing them. Members are encouraged to report cases to their Regional Secretary, both for protection purposes and for the network’s evolving documentation.

Cases that members of the network should know

What follows are cases that have shaped how the WLC understands the operating environment. They are presented not as exceptional events but as illustrations of patterns that recur across regimes.

Masih Alinejad, co-founder of the World Liberty Congress. The Iranian-American journalist and human-rights activist Masih Alinejad has been the target of at least three documented Iranian regime plots: a 2021 plan to kidnap her from her Brooklyn home and rendition her by speedboat to Venezuela for transport to Iran, a 2022 assassination plot in which the regime offered USD 500,000 to two Russian-mob members for her killing, and a 2024 third assassination plot directed by the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps. The two contractors in the 2022 case were sentenced in October 2025 to twenty-five years each in U.S. federal prison.

Alinejad and her family have moved through approximately twenty FBI safe houses since 2021. Her case is one of the most visible internationally. It is not the only one in the WLC network.

Hong Kong activists in exile. By the end of 2025, Hong Kong authorities had issued thirty-four arrest warrants and bounties against exiled democracy activists living in the United Kingdom, the United States, Canada, and Australia, accusing them of national-security crimes for organizing, advocating for sanctions, or operating an exile parliament. Bounties of HK\$1 million (approximately USD 129,000) were placed on each of nineteen activists in the first three rounds (July 2023, December 2024, and earlier waves). In July 2025 a further fifteen warrants were issued against members of the so-called Hong Kong Parliament, with bounties of HK\$200,000 each. In 2025 the Hong Kong authorities began the systematic harassment and prosecution of family members of those exiled, with the May 2025 arrest of Anna Kwok's sixty-eight-year-old father becoming the first national-security prosecution of an exiled activist's relative. The U.S. government has sanctioned six Hong Kong officials for the extraterritorial use of the National Security Law. The bounties' strategic purpose, as the regime has acknowledged in practice, is the chilling effect they create across the entire diaspora, not the unlikely arrest of any single individual.

Nicaragua and the WLC presidency. Félix Maradiaga, current President of the World Liberty Congress, was one of the political prisoners arbitrarily detained by the Ortega-Murillo regime in 2021, held for 611 days at the El Chipote facility, and then forcibly exiled and stripped of Nicaraguan nationality in February 2023 as part of "los 222." After exile, harassment continued. In March 2026 a fabricated battery charge was filed against him in Doral, Florida, in what Maradiaga and the WLC publicly identified as a transnational-repression incident designed to discredit and entangle exiled leadership through proxies operating in host countries. The Nicaraguan pattern (denationalization, financial repression of civil society, criminalization through foreign-agents legislation, and harassment in exile) appears in country after country.

Other cases members are encouraged to study include the Saudi murder of journalist Jamal Khashoggi inside the Saudi consulate in Istanbul in October 2018, which became the modern reference point for how a state can convert its own diplomatic infrastructure into an instrument of murder. The Russian poisonings of former intelligence officer Sergei Skripal in Salisbury in 2018 and of opposition leader Alexei Navalny in Tomsk in 2020 illustrated the use of chemical weapons against critics on the soil of allied states and within Russia itself. The forced landing of Ryanair Flight 4978 in Minsk in May 2021, ordered by the Lukashenko regime to

seize Belarusian dissident journalist Roman Protasevich and his partner, demonstrated that authoritarian states will violate the territorial integrity of European airspace to capture critics in transit. The February 2025 deportation of forty Uyghur men from Thailand to China, after more than a decade of detention and despite resettlement offers from third countries, illustrated the deeply institutionalized cooperation between authoritarian regimes that now defines this terrain. The Iranian regime's campaigns against Iranian women, Bahá'í communities, and dual nationals operate as a global pattern, of which the Alinejad cases are only the most public. Each of these cases involves WLC members or constituencies that are part of the network.

“Refuge is sacred, asylum is inviolable, and freedom has no frontier.”

The Berlin Manifesto sets the WLC's position directly: no dictatorship should be allowed to export fear beyond its borders. The network calls on democratic governments to adopt strong legislation and coordination mechanisms to deter and punish transnational repression, to protect exiled activists with emergency visas, legal assistance, and counter-surveillance measures, and to hold accountable all perpetrators and enablers, including the private companies that sell spyware and financial services to despotic regimes.

What members should know operationally

Three patterns in the contemporary transnational-repression landscape are worth treating as working assumptions for any member operating outside their country of origin.

- Repression follows you. Exile is not safety. The chilling effect of bounties, surveillance, and threats to family members at home travels with the individual across every border. Members should plan for the operating environment, not for the host-country's formal legal protections, which are necessary but not sufficient.
- Family is the soft target. When the activist abroad is hard to reach, regimes increasingly target relatives at home: parents, siblings, adult children. The Hong Kong cases, the Iranian cases, and the Nicaraguan cases all show this pattern. Movement protection planning must include people the activist has not seen in years.
- The aggregate effect is the strategy. No single threat may justify panic. The cumulative weight of low-grade harassment, occasional family interrogation, periodic legal action, and targeted disinformation is the strategy. Members who treat each incident in isolation may underestimate the trajectory.



SECTION III

The theory of backfire

The core concept behind Principle 12 is backfire: the proposition, supported by decades of comparative research on civil resistance and on the sociology of state violence, that repression can harm the perpetrator when it is exposed, understood as unjust, connected to disciplined and innocent victims, and translated into mobilization, legal action, sanctions, defections, and international pressure. The doctrinal source most often cited in WLC training is Brian Martin's research on backfire dynamics, which builds on a wider body of scholarship from Gene Sharp through Erica Chenoweth and Maria Stephan.

The crucial insight is that repression does not automatically backfire. Many regimes repress successfully, year after year, because abuse remains hidden, victims are stigmatized, the movement reacts chaotically, or the public cannot access reliable information. Backfire is a possibility, not a guarantee. It must be built deliberately, through the alignment of six conditions.

1. Visibility

The abuse must be documented and made visible to the right audiences. This does not always mean immediate publication. Sometimes evidence must be preserved confidentially for legal or diplomatic use. But hidden abuse rarely imposes costs on the regime.

2. Credibility

The evidence must be trustworthy. Rumors damage the movement's credibility and damage backfire. Verified testimony, photos, videos, medical reports, legal documents, dates, locations, witness accounts, and chain-of-custody procedures matter.

3. Innocence and discipline

The victims must be understood as people exercising legitimate rights, not as violent actors. This is why nonviolent discipline matters strategically as well as ethically. When movements respond to regime violence with vengeance or indiscriminate retaliation, they hand the regime the narrative material it needs to justify repression.

4. Moral framing

The public must understand what the abuse means. A beating is not only a beating. It is evidence that the regime fears its citizens. A political trial is not only a legal

case. It is evidence that courts have become instruments of domination. Movements that document abuse without framing it leave the framing to the regime.

5. Channels of response

There must be pathways for people and institutions to react: media, religious communities, unions, student networks, diaspora organizations, legislators, embassies, UN mechanisms, sanctions teams, human-rights organizations, and international courts. Backfire requires connective infrastructure between abuse and consequence.

6. Strategic conversion

Repression must be converted into pressure: prisoner campaigns, legal filings, Magnitsky-style sanctions dossiers, diplomatic briefings, emergency protection, fundraising, international media, and coalition building. Without conversion, even visible repression produces only sympathy.

The working formula: abuse plus documentation plus discipline plus narrative plus allies plus pressure equals backfire. Each element matters, and the absence of any one of them tends to dissolve the others. Movements that master only documentation produce reports no one reads. Movements that master only narrative produce indignation that leads nowhere. The discipline is in the alignment.



SECTION IV

Discipline and dignity: nonviolent practice, and the difference between expecting and provoking

Principle 12 depends on Principle 5 of this Playbook. Nonviolent resistance remains the most strategically effective and the most morally sustainable method of confronting most authoritarian regimes. The WLC position is pragmatic rather than absolutist: defensive force may be justified in narrow, lawful, proportionate, last-resort circumstances, as the Berlin Manifesto recognizes. But nonviolent discipline is the operational core, because it is what makes the regime's violence politically expensive.

Regimes need a story. They want to say: we are not repressing citizens, we are defending order. They want to portray activists as criminals, terrorists, foreign agents, extremists, traitors, or enemies of religion and family. If a movement falls into provocation, it gives the regime exactly the material the regime requires. A movement maintaining discipline under provocation forces the regime into a

dilemma: stop repression and lose control, or continue repression and lose legitimacy. The dilemma is not sentimental. It is structural.

“The movement’s discipline is what makes the regime’s violence politically expensive.”

Principle 12 must never be misunderstood as a call to seek suffering. A serious movement does not romanticize prison, martyrdom, or sacrifice. It does not expose people for symbolic effect. It does not treat repression as useful in itself. It does not need victims. The goal is not to provoke repression. The goal is to prepare for the repression that authoritarian regimes are likely to use anyway.

A mature movement, before any escalation, asks:

- › *Can this action achieve its purpose without exposing people unnecessarily?*
- › *Who is most at risk?*
- › *Is the likely repression worth the strategic objective?*
- › *Do participants understand the risks they are accepting?*
- › *Are protection systems already in place?*
- › *Can the movement continue if leaders are arrested?*
- › *Can the abuse be documented safely?*
- › *Is there a written response plan?*

A campaign that exposes its people without preparation may strengthen the regime more than the movement. Principle 4 warns directly: if strategy increases risk without protection, it may strengthen the regime more than it weakens it. Courage without protection becomes avoidable sacrifice. Protection without courage becomes paralysis. Principle 12 holds both together.



SECTION V

The WLC Repression Response Cycle

The Playbook teaches Principle 12 through a practical cycle of eight stages: Anticipate, Protect, Document, Communicate, Mobilize, Escalate, Care, Learn. The cycle is sequential at the level of any single incident and continuous at the level of the movement’s working life. After each repression event, the movement returns to anticipation with the lessons of the previous cycle integrated.

1. Anticipate

Before any campaign, assume the regime will respond. Likely responses include arrests, smear campaigns, fake accounts, legal threats, internet shutdowns, family intimidation, tax harassment, passport cancellation, forced exile, paramilitary violence, controlled concessions, infiltration, and fragmentation tactics. The regime-response simulation exercise from Principle 4 belongs here. One team designs the strategy. Another plays the regime. The plan that survives the simulation is the plan worth executing.

2. Protect

Protection must be built before escalation. It includes digital security, legal defense, emergency contacts, medical support, safe houses or safe mobility, family protection, psychosocial support, rapid relocation options, spokesperson rotation, leadership succession, secure evidence storage, and international alert contacts. Protection is not separate from strategy. It is what allows strategy to survive repression.

3. Document

Documentation is the bridge between suffering and accountability. It should be accurate, secure, and useful. Document who was harmed, who ordered it, who carried it out, when and where it happened, what evidence exists, who witnessed it, what medical or legal records exist, what pattern this fits, and what law or right was violated. Documentation must be do-no-harm. Do not publish names, faces, locations, or details that expose victims, families, or networks. The methodology of safe documentation set out for Principle 2 applies in full.

4. Communicate

Repression creates confusion. The movement must communicate faster than rumors and more carefully than outrage. A strong response message includes what happened, who was targeted, why it matters, what the regime is trying to do, what the movement demands, how citizens and allies can respond, what information remains unverified, and how people can help safely. Avoid exaggeration. Avoid speculation. Avoid revenge language. Avoid messages that help the regime portray the movement as chaotic or violent.

5. Mobilize

Backfire requires response. The response may be public or private depending on the context. Possible actions include family-support campaigns, political-prisoner campaigns, emergency legal action, diaspora vigils, international media briefings, embassy outreach, legislative letters, UN urgent appeals, religious-community statements, university solidarity, union or professional-association statements, sanctions submissions, digital-security emergency support, and fundraising for families. The right mix depends on the regime type and the moment.

6. Escalate

Repression should be translated into consequences. Escalation may include targeted sanctions, visa bans, asset freezes, universal-jurisdiction filings, international criminal-court documentation, anti-kleptocracy investigations, parliamentary hearings, human-rights reports, named-perpetrator dossiers, and campaigns against the spyware companies, banks, or law firms that enable repression. Documentation, narrative, networks, and assets become leverage when applied to a specific target by a specific date.

7. Care

Movements often fail here. They turn victims into symbols but do not care for them afterward. That is morally wrong and strategically foolish. Care includes legal defense, medical treatment, psychosocial support, family support, prisoner correspondence, support for children, help with relocation, assistance with jobs or education after release, protection against retraumatization, and consent before any use of testimony. The movement must not exploit suffering. The person comes before the campaign.

8. Learn

After every repression episode, the movement conducts a structured after-action review. What did we expect? What happened? How did the regime respond? What worked? What failed? Who was harmed? What could we have prevented? What should we change next time? What can be shared with the WLC network, and what must remain confidential? Reviews must be written securely, anonymized from the start, stored in encrypted form, and shared only through verified channels.

The 72-hour response protocol

The first three days after a repression event are decisive. The protocol below is the operational discipline that prevents the most common failures: panic in the first hours, premature communication, inadequate documentation, missed opportunities for ally activation, and the slow drift toward symbolic response in place of strategic conversion. The protocol must be adapted to regime type. Under extreme repression, public communication may be delayed to protect victims. Under semi-open conditions, rapid visibility may be essential.

HOURS 0-3 · SAFETY FIRST

Confirm basic facts. Check whether people are physically safe. Activate legal and family contacts. Secure devices and accounts. Stop unnecessary communications. Identify what is verified and what is rumor. Assign one internal coordinator who holds the operational picture.

HOURS 3-12 · DOCUMENTATION AND CONTAINMENT

Create a secure incident file. Collect initial testimony with consent. Preserve digital evidence. Identify witnesses. Prepare a risk assessment. Decide what must remain confidential. Draft holding lines for public communication that the movement can defend if pressed for details.

HOURS 12-24 · CONTROLLED COMMUNICATION

Issue a short verified statement if it is safe to do so. Name demands clearly. Avoid speculation. Alert trusted international partners. Coordinate with family or legal representatives. Prepare spokesperson guidance. Monitor and counter regime disinformation as it appears.

HOURS 24-48 · ALLY ACTIVATION

Brief embassies, legislators, NGOs, media, religious leaders, unions, and universities as appropriate. Share evidence under secure protocols. Activate diaspora networks. Prepare a victim or prisoner profile with full consent. Launch family-support mechanisms.

HOURS 48-72 · CONVERSION TO PRESSURE

Identify perpetrators and institutions involved. Prepare legal or sanctions pathways. Decide on public escalation versus private diplomacy. Link the incident to a broader pattern. Update the risk map. Schedule the after-action review.

**SECTION VI****Resilience under repression: the inner architecture of leadership**

Repression is not only a tactical and strategic problem. It is, for the people who absorb it, a deeply human one. Members of the WLC who have lived through prison, exile, family separation, surveillance, denationalization, or the killing of colleagues know that the discipline of Principle 12 cannot be sustained on operational protocols alone. It requires what Maradiaga's Theory of Resilience calls the inner architecture of leadership under pressure. The framework set out below is not therapeutic. It is operational. The leaders who hold movements together when the blow comes are leaders who have built this architecture deliberately, in advance, in the periods when nothing was acutely wrong.

Resilience, as the Theory makes precise, is not the absence of suffering. It is the presence of a capacity to engage with suffering productively. It is not stoic rigidity.

The oak tree that refuses to bend in a storm is more likely to fracture than the willow that yields and rises again. Decades of research, summarized most rigorously in George Bonanno's work at Columbia, find that resilience (defined as maintaining stable psychological equilibrium even in the immediate aftermath of severe adversity) is the most common human response to traumatic events, present in 35 to 65 percent of those exposed. It is not heroic exceptionalism. It is, for many people, the normal human response when the conditions for it are present.

“Resilience is not the absence of suffering. It is the presence of a capacity to engage with suffering productively.”

Those conditions can be cultivated. The Theory identifies five mechanisms that, together, constitute the architecture. Each can be strengthened deliberately by leaders before the moment when it will be tested. Each becomes especially important in the moments when leaders must hold others, not only themselves.

Mechanism 1. Self-regulation

The capacity to pause before reacting. Under acute pressure, the amygdala fires before the prefrontal cortex can assess. Trained self-regulation (cognitive reappraisal, controlled breathing, the discipline of the deliberate pause) reduces the physiological stress response and restores the capacity to think under conditions designed to prevent it. The single most important practice is the thirty-second pause before responding to a crisis communication: not to gather ammunition, but to allow the prefrontal cortex to come back online. Leaders whose first reactions are reflexive will mislead their teams in the first hours of every crisis.

Mechanism 2. Threat management

The capacity to distinguish real danger from emotional overreaction, and to remain functional in either case. Movements under repression face a constant overload of signals: real threats, performative threats designed to exhaust attention, rumors, projections, false alarms, and the ambient noise of fear. Leaders must develop the discipline of triage: which threats are immediate, which are credible but not urgent, which are noise, which are designed by the regime to consume the team's capacity. A leader who treats every signal as an emergency will collapse before any real emergency arrives.

Mechanism 3. Trust

The relational substrate that determines whether a movement holds together when the formal structures fail. Psychological safety must be built before the crisis, not

during it. Teams that have practiced honest communication during calm periods are far more capable of navigating it during turbulent ones. Trust is built in small acts of follow-through, in the willingness of leaders to be appropriately vulnerable when needed, in the consistent assumption of good faith unless evidence requires otherwise. A movement whose leaders cannot trust each other will fragment under the pressure that a regime is designed to apply.

Mechanism 4. Relational quality

The investment in relationships that matter, made before the relationships are needed. Disaster sociology research consistently finds that communities with high social capital recover significantly better from collective adversity, even when controlling for material resources. Leaders should invest in relationships across the movement before there is a crisis: with colleagues, with allies, with people they disagree with, with people they may need in moments when there is no time to build the relationship from zero. The conversations that matter most are usually the conversations that no one has scheduled.

Mechanism 5. Meaning-making

The capacity to connect the most difficult moments to a larger purpose, and to help others do the same. Viktor Frankl, who survived four Nazi concentration camps and built logotherapy from the experience, articulated the insight that under the most extreme conditions of deprivation and horror, there remains a freedom that cannot be confiscated: the freedom to choose one's attitude toward suffering. For leaders working in contexts of repression and exile, this is not abstract. It is operational. The capacity to maintain a coherent narrative about why this work matters, even when the immediate evidence suggests otherwise, is what allows leaders to sustain themselves and others through the long horizons that authoritarianism imposes.

Two further insights from the Theory deserve direct application to the conditions members of the WLC face.

Explanatory style is a habit that can be changed

Martin Seligman's research on explanatory style finds that those who explain adversity through an internalized, stable, global frame ("I failed because I am inadequate, always, in every area of my life") are significantly more vulnerable than those who use externalized, unstable, specific explanations ("This particular effort did not work, in this context, for these reasons"). The capacity to restructure one's narrative about adversity, especially the kind of adversity that authoritarian regimes deliberately inflict, is one of the most powerful tools in the resilience repertoire. Leaders should practice this restructuring deliberately. The arrest is a regime tactic, not a personal failure. The exile is a structural consequence of a

political system, not evidence of inadequacy. The setback is local and recoverable, not global and permanent.

Post-traumatic growth is real, and is not the same as recovery

Tedeschi and Calhoun's work on post-traumatic growth shows that individuals who move through severe adversity often discover new strengths, deeper relationships, and an expanded life philosophy. They do not return to who they were. They become more. Many leaders in the WLC network have lived this. The discipline is to recognize the growth where it has actually occurred, without minimizing the cost of the experience and without romanticizing what should not have happened. Movements built by leaders who have done this work carry a moral weight that is difficult to manufacture by other means.

Resilience for teams and movements

The five mechanisms operate at the level of teams and movements as well as individuals. The leader's emotional state is not a private matter. Research on emotional contagion shows that emotions spread through groups with remarkable speed and fidelity. A leader in chronic anxiety, frustration, or defensiveness will produce teams that mirror those states. This does not mean a leader must perform perpetual positivity. It means that emotional self-awareness and self-regulation are the core infrastructure of team functioning, especially under conditions of sustained repression. The team that has practiced honest communication, distributed meaning-making, productive disagreement, a shared vocabulary for distress, and rituals of structured reflection after difficult events is the team that holds together when the regime applies pressure designed to fracture it.

When professional support is needed. Repression produces real psychological consequences, including post-traumatic stress, depression, anxiety disorders, and complicated grief. The Theory of Resilience is a framework for cultivation and leadership, not a substitute for clinical care when clinical care is needed. WLC members and their families experiencing serious distress are encouraged to consult mental-health professionals trained in trauma. The WLC network can provide referrals through the Regional Secretaries to clinicians and organizations with expertise in working with human-rights defenders and survivors of political violence. Asking for help is not weakness. It is one of the most reliable markers of operational maturity in this work.



SECTION VII

Practical advice for leading through specific forms of repression

The doctrine of Principle 12 applies to every form of repression. The practical leadership response varies. The advice below is organized by the ten forms identified in Section I and reflects the working experience of WLC members across the network. None of it substitutes for context-specific judgment from the Regional Secretary and the leadership team. It is offered as a starting point for movements facing each form.

Leading through physical repression

When the blow lands physically (an arrest, a beating, a raid, a killing), the priority is verification, then care, then containment. Confirm what happened before speaking publicly. Activate medical, legal, and family contacts before activating media. Hold a single internal coordinator. Resist the temptation to compete for the loudest reaction. The most useful first public statement is short, factual, and accompanied by a specific demand. Plan for the second wave: regimes often arrest one set of leaders and arrest the spokespeople who emerge in the first hours of response.

Leading through legal repression

When the regime weaponizes the legal system, the response must be both legal and political. Engage the best legal counsel available. Document the procedural irregularities as carefully as the substantive injustice, because procedural records are often what international bodies act on. Communicate the political nature of the case without dismissing the legal process, which can become a platform for the movement's argument. Prepare contingency leadership for the moment a case is filed against a key figure. The Berlin Manifesto identifies foreign-agents legislation specifically as a form of legal repression, and members are encouraged to coordinate with the WLC network on how comparable cases have been handled in other countries.

Leading through administrative repression

When the regime cancels passports, deregisters NGOs, or strips citizenship, the response must be infrastructural rather than emotional. The Berlin Manifesto names statelessness and the politics of erasure directly: no tyrant has the authority to delete the identity of a human being. The Freedom in Exile initiative provides legal assistance, documentation support, and international advocacy for those deprived of nationality, and members affected should engage it early. Build redundancy into organizational registration. Maintain backup copies of essential documents. Prepare alternative legal jurisdictions where the work can continue. Treat the administrative

attack as the political attack it is, and translate it into international advocacy at the level of the United Nations Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.

Leading through financial repression

When accounts are frozen, transfers blocked, and donor relationships criminalized, the response must be both immediate and structural. Immediate: protect the people whose names appear on bank records, anticipate that personal accounts of leaders are exposed, ensure that families are not financially stranded. Structural: diversify funding architecture before the freeze, build relationships with donors capable of flexible and discreet support, engage the Decentralized Resistance Program and the WLC's work on Bitcoin and Freedom Technologies as alternative channels. The Berlin Manifesto frames this work directly: when banking systems are weaponized against dissent, censorship-resistant alternatives become a form of power in themselves.

Leading through digital repression

When the regime deploys spyware, hacks devices, shuts down the internet, or uses AI-assisted surveillance, the response begins with assumption: assume your devices are compromised, assume your communications are monitored, assume your network has been mapped. Engage Access Now's Digital Security Helpline, the Electronic Frontier Foundation's Surveillance Self-Defense materials, and Front Line Defenders' Security in a Box, all referenced in Principle 6 of this Playbook. Rotate communications channels. Compartmentalize sensitive information. Train every member in basic operational security. Treat digital hygiene as a movement-wide practice, not an individual responsibility.

Leading through information repression

When the regime deploys smear campaigns, fake-opposition accounts, regime influencers, and disinformation, the response must be slower, more disciplined, and more strategic than the attack. Do not respond to every fabrication. Identify the small number of narratives that are actually shifting the public conversation, and respond to those with verified evidence. Humanize victims. Show their families, their work, their faith, their service. Build relationships with credible journalists before the attack arrives. Do not match the regime's tone. Movements that descend to the regime's rhetoric typically lose the audiences they most need to reach.

Leading through social repression

When the regime targets families, employers, universities, and the social fabric of activists' lives, the response is the most operationally demanding form of protection

work. Build family-support funds in advance. Maintain emergency contact protocols with relatives. Train families in basic personal security. Provide psychological support for the children of activists, who absorb the cost without having chosen the work. Recognize that the regime is using the movement's most precious relationships against it, and respond accordingly: with care, with discretion, and with the operational seriousness that protection of family members requires.

Leading through transnational repression

When the regime extends its reach across borders, the response must be coordinated across host countries, between exile and inside, and at the level of the international system. Engage host-country law enforcement and protection programs. Engage Freedom House, Front Line Defenders, and the Berlin Manifesto's call for stronger legislation against transnational repression. Maintain awareness of the patterns: bounties from China, kidnap and assassination plots from Iran, surveillance and family-hostage tactics from a long list of regimes. Use the WLC network to share threat intelligence across countries that may be facing comparable patterns from the same or collaborating regimes. Live with appropriate caution without surrendering to fear. Refuge is sacred. Asylum is inviolable. Freedom has no frontier.

Leading through psychological repression

When the regime applies prolonged psychological pressure (isolation, sleep deprivation, humiliation, forced confession, the destruction of hope), the response must apply the resilience architecture set out in Section VI. For those still inside, train members in the disciplines that survivors of imprisonment have repeatedly identified as decisive: routines that preserve identity, mental practices that preserve agency, the discipline of small acts of dignity, and the active maintenance of the inner narrative. For those outside, do not allow the prolonged absence of dramatic events to suggest that nothing is happening. The accumulation of psychological pressure is, in many ways, the most damaging form of repression, because it operates beneath the threshold of measurable incidents. Care for those experiencing it is care, not optional service.

Leading through strategic repression

When the regime deploys selective arrests to decapitate leadership, times repression to elections or protests, combines concessions with targeted punishment, or attempts to provoke movement indiscipline, the response is the application of every other principle in the Playbook. Strategic repression is the regime's test of whether the movement understands what is being done to it. Movements that recognize strategic repression as the integrated tactic it is, and

respond with the integrated multi-front strategy of Principle 11, can convert the regime's coordination into the regime's exposure. Movements that respond to each piece in isolation tend to lose the larger contest.



SECTION VIII

Practical exercises for the World Liberty Academy

The seven exercises below are used in WLC Academy workshops on repression, backfire, and resilience. They are most effective when conducted by working leadership groups bringing real material from their own context, and when the discomfort of the conversations is allowed to surface rather than smoothed over.

Exercise 1. Repression scenario drill

Participants receive a scenario: three organizers arrested before a planned action, one family threatened, and regime media calling them foreign agents. Teams must produce a complete seventy-two-hour response plan that meets the protocol set out in Section V.

Exercise 2. Backfire or failure?

Participants analyze historical cases where repression did or did not backfire. They identify which of the six conditions of backfire (visibility, credibility, innocence and discipline, moral framing, channels of response, strategic conversion) were present, partial, or absent, and what the alignment looked like in each case.

Exercise 3. The regime narrative lab

Participants write the regime's likely narrative after an arrest or beating, in the regime's own voice. Then they prepare the movement's response: truthful, disciplined, and emotionally resonant without exaggeration. The exercise reliably reveals how often movements respond to a caricature of the regime's narrative rather than to the version that is actually circulating.

Exercise 4. Evidence chain practice

Participants build a basic incident file: testimony, timeline, named or anonymized perpetrators, evidence types, consent status, confidentiality level, and possible use. The exercise is conducted with the discipline of safe documentation set out in Principle 2.

Exercise 5. Protection before action

Participants design a campaign and then identify, before the campaign launches, who could be targeted. They create legal, digital, physical, psychosocial, and family protection plans for each category. The campaign launches only after the protection plans are in place.

Exercise 6. Transnational repression mapping

Members operating in exile map the specific transnational-repression risks they face: surveillance, family-targeting, financial repression, legal harassment via Interpol, denationalization, social-media campaigns, physical threats. For each, they identify mitigation, partner organizations, and trigger points for engaging the WLC Regional Secretary.

Exercise 7. The resilience self-assessment

Each member of the leadership group completes the five-mechanism self-assessment from the Theory of Resilience: self-regulation, threat management, trust, relational quality, meaning-making. Scores are aggregated across the team to identify the dimensions where the team as a whole most needs investment, before the next moment of acute pressure arrives.



SECTION IX

Warning signs that a movement is mishandling repression

The patterns below should prompt the leadership team to pause and reassess, regardless of the immediate pressure. A movement that exhibits three or more of these patterns is, in practice, allowing repression to do its work.

- Publishing before verifying.
- Exposing victims without their explicit, ongoing consent.
- Using exaggerated or unverified numbers.
- Framing every event as the final proof of imminent regime collapse.
- Calling people into danger without protection plans in place.
- Allowing anger to replace strategy in leadership decisions.
- Responding violently to provocation, even at the level of rhetoric.
- Abandoning families after the publicity cycle ends.

- Treating arrests as symbolic capital rather than as human suffering.
- Failing to document perpetrators by name and chain of command.
- Lacking any legal or international pathway for evidence.
- Repeating the same risky tactic after each wave of repression, without adaptation.

Repression does not automatically strengthen a movement. It strengthens disciplined movements. It destroys unprepared ones. The discipline is built before the moment when it will be tested, not during it.



SECTION X

How the World Liberty Congress operationalizes Principle 12

Members facing repression, whether inside their country or in exile, do not face it alone. The WLC was created in part to ensure that no freedom fighter ever stands alone, and the practical infrastructure of the network operationalizes that pledge across several specific capacities.

- Repression-response toolkit and seventy-two-hour emergency protocol, available through the World Liberty Academy.
- Political-prisoner case management through Free Them and the Pathway to Freedom Handbook (Vilnius, 2023).
- Secure evidence-preservation and documentation methodology, with technical support from the Decentralized Resistance Program.
- Rapid-response contact chain through Regional Secretaries, who can coordinate cross-border support within hours of an incident.
- Family-support planning frameworks and connections to host-country protection mechanisms.
- Sanctions-dossier intake templates for converting documented abuse into Magnitsky-style accountability.
- Trauma-sensitive testimony protocols and referrals to mental-health practitioners trained in working with human-rights defenders.
- Coordination with Freedom House, Human Rights Foundation, Front Line Defenders, Access Now, and the wider human-rights ecosystem on cases of transnational repression specifically.

- Cross-country pattern recognition and shared learning, so that what one movement experiences becomes intelligence for the next movement that will face a comparable pattern.
- Resilience training through the Theory of Resilience curriculum, available to leadership groups across the network.
- A confidential case-learning system that updates the Playbook itself, so the network's knowledge compounds over time.

The Academy trains members not only to resist repression, but to metabolize it strategically: to protect people, preserve truth, impose costs, and prevent one country's suffering from being wasted as isolated pain. Members are encouraged to engage their Regional Secretary at the first sign of escalation, both for the network's comparative learning and for the protection that early engagement enables.



CONCLUSION

Repression must not have the final word

Authoritarian regimes repress because they want citizens to believe that resistance leads only to pain. Principle 12 teaches the opposite. Repression can become evidence. Evidence can become truth. Truth can become legitimacy. Legitimacy can become pressure. Pressure can become power. But this conversion does not happen automatically. It requires preparation, discipline, ethical clarity, documentation, protection, communication, international coordination, the inner architecture of resilience, and care for those who suffer.

The goal is not to suffer. The goal is not martyrdom. The goal is not to provoke violence. The goal is to ensure that when repression comes, it does not silence the movement. It exposes the regime. The Berlin Manifesto closes its section on transnational repression with a statement that members of the WLC carry as a working principle: as long as dictators hunt their opponents abroad, none of us are truly free. Refuge is sacred. Asylum is inviolable. Freedom has no frontier. The work of Principle 12 is the operational practice of making that statement true.

*Expect repression without surrendering to fear.
Prepare for repression without romanticizing sacrifice.
Document repression without exploiting victims.*

*Respond to repression without losing discipline.
Turn fear into organized democratic power.*

For WLC members, this principle is the discipline that allows long struggles to compound rather than collapse. The next and final principle of the Playbook addresses how movements prepare for the future in order to win the present. The two principles operate together. A movement that has built the capacity to absorb repression is a movement that is also building the credibility and the institutional imagination to govern after the regime falls.

SOURCES CITED

World Liberty Congress, *Berlin Manifesto* (Berlin Parliament, November 10, 2025), with particular relevance for the sections on transnational repression, statelessness, exile, and Bitcoin and freedom technologies.

World Liberty Congress, *Theory of Change* (2026), and *Adaptations to Counter-Autocracy Strategic Framework* (Berlin, November 2025). Principle 12: Understand Repression as Inevitable, and Make It Backfire.

Félix Maradiaga, *The Theory of Resilience: The Inner Architecture of Leadership Under Pressure* (GSGS 4550, University of Virginia, Spring 2026), the source for the five-mechanism framework, the application of the Bonanno trajectories, and the integration of Frankl, Seligman, Tedeschi and Calhoun, and Heifetz.

Freedom House, *Collaboration and Resistance: Tracking Transnational Repression in 2025* (April 2026), the source for the database of 1,375 incidents from 2014 to 2025 and the 2025 perpetrator and host-country data. Available at freedomhouse.org/report/transnational-repression.

Freedom House, *Out of Sight, Not Out of Reach: The Global Scale and Scope of Transnational Repression* (2021), and the subsequent reports *Defending Democracy in Exile*, *Still Not Safe*, *A Light That Cannot Be Extinguished: Exiled Journalism and Transnational Repression*, and *No Way In or Out: Authoritarian Controls on the Freedom of Movement*.

Brian Martin, *Justice Ignited: The Dynamics of Backfire* (Rowman and Littlefield, 2007), and *Backfire Manual: Tactics Against Injustice* (Sparsnäs, 2012), the doctrinal foundation for the theory of backfire applied throughout this principle.

Erica Chenoweth and Maria J. Stephan, *Why Civil Resistance Works: The Strategic Logic of Nonviolent Conflict* (Columbia University Press, 2011), for the empirical foundations on movement discipline, broad participation, and the conditions under which repression backfires.

George A. Bonanno, *The Other Side of Sadness: What the New Science of Bereavement Tells Us About Life After Loss* (Basic Books, 2009), and the longitudinal trajectory research summarized at the Loss, Trauma and Emotion Lab at Columbia University.

Viktor E. Frankl, *Man's Search for Meaning* (Beacon Press, 1959), the foundational work for the meaning-making mechanism.

U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs, *"Two Russian Mob Leaders Sentenced to 25 Years in Prison for Murder-For-Hire Targeting a Journalist on Behalf of the Iranian Government," October 30, 2025*, on the Masih Alinejad case.

Human Rights Watch, *Hong Kong: Targeting of Exiled Activists' Families Escalates* (May 2025), and Government of the United Kingdom, *Six-monthly Report on Hong Kong: 1 July to 31 December 2025*, on the Hong Kong bounties and family-targeting practices.

On the resources for digital security, anti-kleptocracy investigation, and protection of human-rights defenders, see the Playbook training document for Principle 6: Know the Enemy.

CONTINUE TO PRINCIPLE 13

Principle 13 of the Playbook for Liberty closes Pillar IV. It addresses how movements prepare for the future in order to win the present, integrating transition planning, leadership succession, constitutional imagination, and the institutional discipline that allows democratic gains to consolidate after victory rather than dissolve in the months that follow.